



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/830,858	05/01/2001	Tetsujiro Kondo	450101-02921	3568		
20999 75	590 05/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K			
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Tibili Tolde,			2622	.F		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/830,858		KONDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Art Unit				
		Yogesh K. A		2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS  OFR 1.136(a). In no evention.  period will apply and will of a statute, cause the application.	S COMMUNICATION , however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	I.  tely filed  the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 July 2005						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
<b>√6)</b> ⊠	Chaim(s) 1-84 is/are rejected	106						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	5 (00						
8)🔀	Claim(s) <u>/-84</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election red	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o			` '	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				,			
	12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* (	application from the International B	•	` ''	_				
•	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the centile	a copies not receive	u.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary					
	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	Politica magni (i. 1)	- · · <b> ,</b>			

Application/Control Number: 09/830,858

Art Unit: 2622

## Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Applicant's response of 07/29/2005 is acknowledged. However, upon further review, the election of species requirement dated 06/27/2005 is withdrawn and replaced with the following:

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Specie 1 corresponding to figure 4. The claims reading on specie 1 are 1-10.

Specie 2 corresponding to figure 10. The claims that read on specie 2 are 11-18.

Specie 3 corresponding to figure 27. The claims that read on specie 3 are 70-84.

Specie 4 corresponding to figure 28. The claims that read on specie 4 are 19-24.

Specie 5 corresponding to figure 74. The claims that read on specie 5 are 60-67.

The species are independent or distinct because in specie 1 foreground objects are adjusted by a normal equation by the least square method that is different from specie 2. Specie 3 (figure 27) has a different method for motion blurring adjustment than figure 4. Specie 4 shows still/movement decision means, which is one of the embodiments for block 103. Specie 5 corresponds to a pressure area sensor 501 that is a different sensor compared to a light sensor.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 25-45, 51-58, 68, 69 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

3. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,858

Art Unit: 2622

4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA

May 12, 2006

DAVID OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4